# anatabacus v1.1: calculator for image distortions



# for BrainVoyager

# **Contents**





## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Chapter 1**

# **Manual for EPI distortion correction plugin for BrainVoyager**

## <span id="page-4-1"></span>**1.1 Introduction**

This is the manual for anatabacus, a geometrical distortions calculator for Brain-Voyager (see Goebel et al, 2006 [\[13\]](#page-60-0)). This plugin makes it possible to apply EPI distortion correction using the pixelshift algorithm (Jezzard Balaban, 1995; [\[20\]](#page-60-1)), for images that are geometrically distorted due to the susceptibility artifact. This approach requires fieldmaps (either phase and magnitude or real and imaginary), being acquired before the functional run. This routine uses affine transformation code by Thevenaz et al (2000, [\[33\]](#page-61-0)).

Secondly, a difference image of two FMRs can be created to evaluate the undistortion/unwarping result visually.

Finally, some calculations can be made as well with this plugin, for example to compute the phase encoding bandwidth or to convert between radians and degrees; also Cartesian (real and imaginary) fieldmaps can be converted to polar coordinates (phase and magnitude).

The EPI distortion correction can be useful for finding the 'true' location of a signal, or make the functional image better fit on the anatomical image, and for increasing the power in group studies (see Cusack et al, 2003 [\[8\]](#page-59-0)). It can not recover lost signal. Preventive measures like proper slice or head positioning, however, can reduce the distortion caused by the susceptibility artifact (see Weiskopf et al, 2006 [\[34\]](#page-61-1) for reduction of signal loss ("dropout"); Appendix [C\)](#page-53-0).

The reference for this plugin is Breman et al (2009; [\[6\]](#page-59-1)). Its poster can be found via the following link to the BrainVoyager support page [PDF.](http://support.brainvoyager.com/documents/Company_Contact/BremanEtAl_HBM2009_poster.pdf)

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>**1.2 Installation of the plugin**

To install the plugin, put the anatabacus\_vxxx.dll/dylib/so, the anatabacus.ui and anatabacus. js files in the folder

/(My) documents/BVQXExtensions/Plugins\_32(64)/ (see figure [1.1\)](#page-5-2).

<b>BrainInnovation</b>		<b>BVOXExtensions</b>	AppleScripts	anatabacus bvgx24.js
Data		<b>BVQXSampleData</b>	<b>Plugins 32</b>	anatabacus bygx24.ui
<b>Desktop</b>		Werk	Plugins 64	batchprocessingwizard v11.is
Documentation			<b>Scripts</b>	batchprocessingwizard v11.ui
<b>Documents</b>				batchprocessingwizard.js
				hatch weapon cin au dan ad ui

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Figure 1.1: Folder to place the plugin

After this has been performed, start BrainVoyager.

## <span id="page-5-1"></span>**1.3 Activation of the plugin**

Start BrainVoyager. To activate the plugin, choose 'anatabacus' from the BrainVoyager menu (see figure [1.2\)](#page-5-3).



Figure 1.2: Activating the toolbox

<span id="page-5-3"></span>A menu will appear where the calculation step(s) can be chosen, the image acquisition parameters can be entered and the files be loaded (see section [1.7\)](#page-8-0).

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>**1.4 Data requirements**

Here is briefly summarised how the data should be presented to the plugin:

- If the fieldmap has different measurement parameters (or even just different resolution) as the EPI data, an anatomical image should be available for the coregistration procedure.
- A VMR-based FMR image is recommended for the masking (see section [1.8\)](#page-10-0).
- The phase and magnitude FMR projects should be in float datatype (see figure [1.3\)](#page-6-1).
- After processing, any still attached AMRs should be detached from the undistorted EPI and B0/pixelshift files.



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Figure 1.3: Changing the FMR datatype to float in BrainVoyager via 'Global Preferences'

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>**1.5 History, present and future**

### <span id="page-7-1"></span>**1.5.1 Planned features**

The features described below are not available in this plugin. They might be available in future versions (or not).

• *Include correction for interaction with head motion ("dynamic fieldmaps").* This is not yet possible with the current version, in anatabacus a single, static fieldmap is used to correct all volumes in one run. If also correction for interaction with head motion is required, please see the toolbox of Hutton et al (2004, [\[17\]](#page-60-2)) and Andersson et al (2003; [\[1\]](#page-59-2)); see also Sutton et al (2004; [\[32\]](#page-61-2)).

#### <span id="page-7-2"></span>**1.5.2 Known issues**

In version 1.0 and 1.1, the AMR files are upside down.

### <span id="page-7-3"></span>**1.5.3 Notes on plugin versions**

#### **Notes on version 1.1**

A coregistration function has been added for different orientations. Also, the 'partial Fourier' in the calculation of the bandwidth in phase encoding direction has been replaced by 'acceleration factor'. Smoothing after phase unwrapping and calculation of the fieldmap has been added. *March 2014*

#### **Notes on version 1.0**

A relaxation method for phase unwrapping has been added (see section [1.9\)](#page-12-0). *October 2011*

#### **Notes on version 0.9**

A resampling function for pixelshift maps has been added (see section [1.14\)](#page-28-0). *October 2011*

#### **Notes on version 0.7**

The plugin has an Evaluation tab (see section [1.15\)](#page-32-0) and a Conversions tab (see section [1.16\)](#page-35-0).

#### **Notes on version 0.6**

The plugin now has a GUI and is updated to BrainVoyager QX 2.2.

#### **Notes on version 0.5**

This version includes a full multigrid (FMG) algorithm to unwrap differential phase images.

## <span id="page-7-4"></span>**1.6 Acknowledgements**

Many thanks to John Ashburner for contributions on the unwrapping and to Judith Peters, Martin Frost, Sanae Okamoto-Barth, Jochen Weber, Fabrizio Esposito, Judith Eck, Matthew Patten, Matthew Dexter and Federico de Martino for data.

*Hester Breman, Joost Mulders and Rainer Goebel*

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>**1.7 Main menu of anatabacus**

The main menu (see figure [1.4\)](#page-8-1) appears when selecting the 'anatabacus' plugin from the BrainVoyager 'Plugins' menu.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>Figure 1.4: Menu of fieldmap correction options

First, one can unwrap the phase map, which is done when there are strongly visible edges in the phase image instead of smooth transitions. It is possible here that jumps between values have been introduced and they should be corrected before using the phase data. Please consult section [1.9](#page-12-0) for information about this option.

Using the unwrapped smoothed differential phase map, the field inhomogeneity can be computed (see section [1.12\)](#page-23-0).

Via the next option a pixel shift map can be calculated (see section [1.13\)](#page-25-0).

As a last step, the pixel shift map can be used to undistort the EPI image. The procedure is described in section [1.14.](#page-28-0)

It is possible to perform all following steps after the currently selected steps (radiobuttons for this option are present on the left side of the dialog).

The stages of the fieldmap are shown in figure [1.5.](#page-9-0) Please note that the black and white appearance of the phase image in radians and the pixelshift map is only a display issue (since the phase in radians have small values, while the usual display of FMR projects is adjusted to intensities of several thousands).



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Figure 1.5: Images of all stages of the fieldmap

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>**1.8 Creating a VMR-based mask**

## <span id="page-10-1"></span>**1.8.1 Introduction**

Since anatabacus v1.1, it is recommended to use an brain extracted-VMR based magnitude (\*.fmr) image instead of just the magnitude image; this should be beneficial for the masking of the unwrapped phase image. In the procedure below is described how to create such an image. In future versions, (parts of) the procedure will be automated.

## <span id="page-10-2"></span>**1.8.2 Brain extraction**

Use the VMR (which is also necessary in case one needs to coregister the fieldmap to the functional data in anatabacus) or a VMR of the magnitude image, in case no other anatomical scans are available. In BrainVoyager, go to Volumes > Segregate Head from Brain Tissue (see figure [1.6\)](#page-10-3). Save the image with a new extension, like "\_BRAIN.vmr" via File > Save As.

<span id="page-10-3"></span>

Figure 1.6: Brain extraction

## <span id="page-11-0"></span>**1.8.3 Map VMR to FMR**

Use the [fmr2vmrplugin](http://support.brainvoyager.com/available-tools/49-available-plugins/463-image-mapping-plugin.html) to map the brain extracted VMR to the magnitude FMR via Plugins  $>$  fmr2vmrplugin (see figure [1.7.](#page-11-1)  $\,$ 



Figure 1.7: Activate fmr2vmrplugin

Choose option 2: VMR -> FMR (see figure [1.8\)](#page-11-2).

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

Figure 1.8: Select 'map VMR to FMR'

Reload the  $\star$ \_VMR. fmr image to see the effect (see figure [1.9\)](#page-11-3).

<span id="page-11-3"></span><span id="page-11-2"></span>

Figure 1.9: Result

## <span id="page-12-0"></span>**1.9 Unwrapping of the differential phase map**

## <span id="page-12-1"></span>**1.9.1 Introduction**

Sometimes phase jumps ("wraps") occur when the time between two echoes in the field map ('phase evolution') is relatively long. In images, these phase jumps shows as sharp edges; see figure [1.10](#page-12-2) for an example of wrapped phase data.



<span id="page-12-2"></span>Figure 1.10: Wrapped image (AMR)

Some phase images hardly contain wraps anymore; in for examples results from the gre\_field\_mapping sequence from Siemens are good, since the time between measuring the two phase images is kept short (2.46 ms), so not so much decay occurs. Therefore, in the resulting differential phase map that one obtains from Siemens' gre\_field\_mapping sequence, usually there are hardly any wraps. But in case there are many phase jumps, it might be good to perform unwrapping, otherwise the fieldmap is not such a useful source of information for undistorting the EPI image.

## <span id="page-13-0"></span>**1.9.2 Usage**

Start the anatabacus plugin from the BrainVoyager "Plugins" menu. In the *startstep* dropdownbox, select "Unwrap" (see figure [1.11\)](#page-13-1).



Figure 1.11: In the dropdownbox, select "Unwrap"

<span id="page-13-1"></span>For few or no wraps, the 3DFMG method can be applied with default settings (1 cycle and 1 iteration); for other cases, the relaxation method can be used (see figure [1.12\)](#page-13-2). Other considerations could be system resources, since 3DFMG might cause (ungraceful) degradation<sup>[1](#page-13-3)</sup> if the amount of memory in the computer is not enough; in that case, please select the 'relaxation' option. Furthermore, the relax-ation option seem to produce more conservative maps<sup>[2](#page-13-4)</sup>.



<span id="page-13-2"></span>Figure 1.12: Unwrapping options

Then, select - using the radiobuttons - whether to perform all following steps as well or just to unwrap the phase image (see figure [1.13\)](#page-13-5). A file dialog will appear not only to select the differential phase image, but also for an image that can be used as mask. Both images are expected to be in BrainVoyager's FMR file format.



<span id="page-13-5"></span>Figure 1.13: Choose to perform only this step or all following steps

Click the button "Proceed to file upload". A phase and magnitude image button will be activated (see figure [1.15](#page-14-0) on the right for a phase image). The phase and magnitude data should contain only one volume (the EPI data can have any number of volumes). Instead of the magnitude image, one could use a brain-extracted VMR that has been projected in the magnitude image (see section [1.8\)](#page-10-0).

If the option to perform all steps is chosen, also the "Get EPI button" will be activated, and an EPI needs to be selected as well.

<span id="page-13-3"></span> $1 =$  crash

<span id="page-13-4"></span> $2 =$  smaller pixelshifts



Figure 1.14: Magnitude (left) and phase (right) images created in BrainVoyager as \*.fmr projects with 1 volume

<span id="page-14-1"></span>When the files are selected, the files are opened in BrainVoyager and the filenames are visible on the dialog.



<span id="page-14-0"></span>Figure 1.15: Button activated for unwrapping step

In case only unwrapping is performed, click the "Start processing" button. Otherwise, the acquisition parameters have to be provided first.

The resulting files are saved as FMR and AMR. The AMR contains a scaled version of the FMR data for display purposes, since the phase data in radians in the FMR are shown in black and white in BrainVoyager (FMR data between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$ appear in black and white).

### <span id="page-15-0"></span>**1.9.3 Background**

#### **Scaling to radians**

First, the data are scaled to radians: between  $\pi$  and  $-\pi$ , because - at least for Siemens DICOM - the phase images have intensities between 0 and 4092. The scaling procedure is based on the linear transformation formula which is described in Nijdam & Van Buuren (1994, [\[24,](#page-60-3) Ch.6]). It is implemented in the following way:

```
float range = max + fabs(min);float newrange = newmax + fabs (newmin);
float p = (newrange/range);
float q = newmin - p * min;
tmp2 = p * vol[i] + q;
```
#### **Unwrapping**



<span id="page-15-1"></span>Figure 1.16: Possibility for adjusting the unwrapping parameters via the 'Options' tab

*3DFMG*: The number of V-cycles and iterations can be adjusted via the spinboxes (see figure [1.16\)](#page-15-1) on the 'Options' tab. The default for number of V-cycles and iterations (see section **??**) is both 1 and should be fine for most cases. The number of V-cycles and iterations is saved in the filename using abbreviations 'cycl' and 'its' respectively:

<image name>\_unwrapped<number>cycl<number>its\_\*.fmr. *Relaxation*: The relaxation method can be summarised as follows [\[27,](#page-60-4) Ch.17]:

An initial solution is guessed that approximately satisfies the differential equation and boundary conditions. An iterative process adjusts the function to bring it into close agreement with the true solution.

For some theoretical background on V-cycles in the multigrid method for unwrapping, and other phase unwrapping methods, see Appendix **??**.

## **Smoothing**

After phase unwrapping and calculation of the B0 fieldmap, (simple) smoothing is applied using a  $3x3$  convolution kernel:

$$
y[r,c] = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} h[k,j]x[r-k,c-j]
$$

[\[30,](#page-61-3) p.420].

Via the smoothing filter, the neighbouring values are weighted as much as the current value for computing a new value.

## <span id="page-17-0"></span>**1.10 Collect acquisition parameters**

## <span id="page-17-1"></span>**1.10.1 Introduction**

In the current version, only three acquisition parameters need to be provided: echo spacing, phase encoding direction and bandwidth in phase encoding direction  $(BW_{PE})$ . For short explanations of the terminology, see Appendix [D.](#page-57-0) For directions where to find the acquisition parameters, see section [1.10.3.](#page-19-0)



Table 1.1: Required parameters and common values for fieldmap correction

### <span id="page-17-2"></span>**1.10.2 Usage**

The menu for the acquisition parameters is shown in figure [1.17.](#page-17-3) Please note that the values are just example parameters and need to be adjusted according to the acquisition protocol.



<span id="page-17-3"></span>Figure 1.17: Acquisition parameters box

#### **Bandwidth in phase encoding direction**

In the 'acquisition parameters' box (see figure [1.17\)](#page-17-3), the bandwidth in the phase encoding direction ( $BW_{PE}$ ) should be provided $^{34}.$  $^{34}.$  $^{34}.$  $^{34}.$ 

For usual scans, this can be computed via: 1 / (echo spacing *in seconds* \* number of phase encoding lines), where the number of phase encoding lines is equal to the matrix size in the phase encoding direction; for example:  $1/(0.53$  msec $*64$  lines) =  $1/0.033.92$  sec = 29.48 Hz. In case the phase encoding is 75%, multiply this with the matrix size of the phase encoding direction. For example: if the image matrix is  $128 \times 128$ , but the phase resolution is  $75\%$ , the bandwidth would become:  $1/(0.53 \text{msec} * (64 \text{lines} * 0.75)) = 1/0.02544 = 39.31 Hz$ . The echo spacing and phase resolution can be found on the EPI scan protocol (see figure [1.19\)](#page-20-0). To calculate the bandwidth, see the conversion utility in section [1.16.3.](#page-43-0)

<span id="page-17-4"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Please note that sometimes the 'echo spacing' is called 'dwell time'. This is the dwell time for the ky dimension [\[4,](#page-59-3) p. 716]; but usually the word 'dwell time' is used as time between successive samples in the kx dimension [\[4,](#page-59-3) p.367]. See also figure [1.18.](#page-19-1)

<span id="page-17-5"></span><sup>4</sup>Please note that the bandwidth mentioned on the Siemens scan protocols is bandwidth in the read out direction, not the phase encoding direction.

#### **Echo time difference**

Then, enter the difference in echo time in milliseconds between the original phase images, also called the *(phase) evolution time*. For Siemens gre\_field\_mapping, this is default  $TE_2 - TE_1 = 7.57 - 5.11 = 2.46$  ms (see the gre\_field\_mapping protocol in figure [1.20\)](#page-21-0).

#### **Phase encoding direction**

Also, information about the phase encoding direction of the EPI images is required. This information can be derived from the scan protocols (see figure [1.19\)](#page-20-0) or scanner console. Since the first gradient, the readout, is usually in the x-direction, the second gradient, the phase encoding, is usually in the y-direction.

The coordinate system in the scanner is usually the DICOM coordinate system: x: right  $\rightarrow$  left, y: anterior  $\rightarrow$  posterior, z: inferior  $\rightarrow$  superior (see Appendix, section [B\)](#page-51-0).

## <span id="page-19-0"></span>**1.10.3 Background**

#### **Finding the phase encoding direction, echo spacing and bandwidth of the EPI images**

When the pulse has been applied, all signals coming from the spinning  $H_0$  protons in the head would have about the same frequency: the Larmor frequency 42.5MHz multiplied with the strength of magnetic field (for example 3 Tesla). To distinguish between signal at different locations of the brain, the signal is modulated. For the read-out direction  $(X)$ , the frequency of the signal is modified; this is called *frequency encoding*. For the Y direction, the phase of the signal is modified; this is called *phase encoding*. This makes it possible for each of the 64 x 64 points in a slice to be uniquely identified: it has its own frequency and its own phase (see figure [1.18\)](#page-19-1).



<span id="page-19-1"></span>Figure 1.18: Parameters that are relevant for echo-planar imaging: bandwidths in phase and frequency direction, total read-out time, dwell time.

The phase encoding direction and echo spacing can also be found on the acqui-sition protocol (see figure [1.19\)](#page-20-0)<sup>[5](#page-19-2)</sup>.

<span id="page-19-2"></span> $5$ The bandwidth that is mentioned on the EPI scan protocol is not the bandwidth in phase encoding direction, but in the read direction.



#### SIEMENS MAGNETOM Allegra syngo MR A30

<span id="page-20-0"></span>Figure 1.19: Acquisition parameter protocol for EPI images (Siemens): 1) phase encoding direction 2) phase resolution 3) echo spacing

#### **Finding the difference in echo time (evolution time)**

The differential phase map that one can be obtained via the Siemens gre\_field\_mapping sequence (see printed protocol in figure [1.20\)](#page-21-0) is a result of two phase images with different TEs. For the Siemens gre\_field\_mapping sequence, care has been taken that the evolution time, the echo time difference is small enough not to cause wrapping in the phase data (for information about unwrapping, see section [1.9\)](#page-12-0). In the example protocol depicted in figure [1.20](#page-21-0) is shown that the first echo time (TE1) is 5.11 and the second echo time (TE2) is 7.57, so the evolution time is  $7.57 - 5.11 = 2.46$ ms (0.00246 seconds in SI units).



#### SIEMENS MAGNETOM Allegra syngo MR A30

<span id="page-21-0"></span>Figure 1.20: Acquisition parameter protocol for phase fieldmap images (Siemens): 1) echo time 1 and echo time 2

## <span id="page-22-0"></span>**1.11 Image registration**

## <span id="page-22-1"></span>**1.11.1 Introduction**

In case the fieldmap have different measurement parameters (orientation, number of slices, etc) than the EPI data, the 'Coregistration' option can be used. This will map the pixelshift image to the space of the EPI data.

## <span id="page-22-2"></span>**1.11.2 Usage**

The menu for the acquisition parameters is shown in figure [1.17.](#page-17-3) Please note that the values are just example parameters and need to be adjusted according to the acquisition protocol.



Figure 1.21: Coregistration option for fieldmap to EPI data mapping

## <span id="page-22-3"></span>**1.11.3 Background**

The code from the [fmr2vmrplugin](http://support.brainvoyager.com/available-tools/49-available-plugins/463-image-mapping-plugin.html) is used to calculate the new coordinates for the pixelshiftmap. This code is inspired by the initial alignment procedure in Brain-Voyager. In the fmr2vmrplugin and anatabacus, the VMR is used to achieve a FMR > FMR mapping.

## <span id="page-23-0"></span>**1.12 Creating a field inhomogeneity map**

In this section is described how to create a field inhomogeneity map of the static magnetic field  $B_0$ .

## <span id="page-23-1"></span>**1.12.1 Usage**

Enter the acquisition parameters. Click the button "Proceed to file upload". Select a filetype. Load the unwarpped phase map (\*\_unwrapped.fmr) via the "get field inhomogeneity map" button on the dialog (see figure  $1.22)^6$  $1.22)^6$ .



<span id="page-23-2"></span>Figure 1.22: Button for selecting unwrapped file

Then, click "Start processing".

The values in the phase map are converted from radians to Hertz ([\[27,](#page-60-4) Ch.12], see figure [1.48\)](#page-43-1). Then, the echo time difference is used to compute to field inhomogeneity for each voxel.

As a result, a field inhomogeneity map  $\star_b 0. \star$  in BrainVoyager  $\star$ .fmr and  $\star$ .amr will be available. If the  $B_0$  inhomogeneity map appears dark, change the display via BrainVoyager's Options menu  $\rightarrow$  Contrast and Brightness... (see figure [1.23\)](#page-23-4).



<span id="page-23-4"></span>Figure 1.23: Field inhomogeneity map

<span id="page-23-3"></span> $^6$ in this first version: to use a \*.nii image: load an \*.fmr image in BrainVoyager with the same dimensions

## <span id="page-24-0"></span>**1.12.2 Background**

According to Jezzard & Balaban [\[20\]](#page-60-1), the field inhomogeneity can be computed from the field phase map using:

$$
\Delta B_0(x, y, z) = (2\pi\gamma \Delta TE)^{-1} \Delta \phi(x, y, z). \tag{1.1}
$$

The field inhomogeneity map can range from for example -200 Hz to 200 Hz for a 3T scanner (see figure [1.24\)](#page-24-1):



<span id="page-24-1"></span>Figure 1.24: Static magnetic field B0 inhomogeneity map computed in BrainVoyager, displayed in Matlab

The conversion from angular frequency  $\omega$  in radians per second to frequency Hertz  $f$  in cycles per second is illustrated in figure [1.48\)](#page-43-1).



Figure 1.25: Conversion from radians  $\omega$  to Hertz  $f$ : two representations in the frequency (Fourier) domain

## <span id="page-25-0"></span>**1.13 Calculate pixel shift map**

The pixel shift map is used to compute how much the pixels have moved because of the local inhomogeneity of the static magnetic field. The pixel shift map is based on the field phase map.

## <span id="page-25-1"></span>**1.13.1 Usage**

Enter the acquisition parameters. Click the button "Proceed to file upload". Select a filetype. Load the field inhomogeneity map  $(*_b0.Fmr)$  via the "get field inhomogeneity map" button on the dialog. Then, click "Start processing".



Figure 1.26: Computing a pixelshift map

<span id="page-25-2"></span>One pixel shift map is computed per fieldmap (for more information, see sec-tion [1.13.2\)](#page-27-0). The pixel shift map is written to disk  $(*\_pixshift.fmr/amr)$ , see figure [1.26\)](#page-25-2). The minimum, maximum and average pixel shift is also printed to the BrainVoyager Log tab.

A pixelshiftmap with quantities indicated is shown in figure [1.27.](#page-26-0)



<span id="page-26-0"></span>Figure 1.27: A pixelshift map computed in BrainVoyager, displayed in Matlab with quantities

## <span id="page-27-0"></span>**1.13.2 Background**

The shift in pixels is determined according to Jezzard & Balaban [\[20,](#page-60-1) p.68] by:

The phase encode shift, in units of pixels, is equal to the measured field inhomogeneity, converted to Hz, and divided by the effective spectral width per pixel.

Or in mathematical form:

$$
\Delta r_{pe} = \gamma \Delta B_0(x, y, z). N(2\tau_{ramp} + N.DW)
$$

where  $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic for water protons <sup>1</sup>H,  $\Delta B_0(x, y, z)$  is the static magnetic field deviation in Hertz for a specific field strength,  $N$  is the matrix size ( $N$  $\times$ N), $\tau_{ramp}$  = the ramp time of the switched gradients (in [\[20\]](#page-60-1) gradient ramp time of 200  $\mu$  seconds on a 1.5T scanner).

The resulting pixelshift is only calculated in the phase encoding direction, which is usually along the y-axis (in the DICOM coordinate system, see figure [B.1\)](#page-51-1).



Figure 1.28: Creating a pixelshift map (value ranges adopted from Jezzard & Balaban's article)

## <span id="page-28-0"></span>**1.14 Undistort functional data with pixel shift map**

With this function, the EPI images will be unwarped (undistorted) via 1-dimensional interpolation.

## <span id="page-28-1"></span>**1.14.1 Usage**

Load the pixelshift map and the functional image via the GUI of the plugin. Click the "Start processing" button.

The "undistort" option will take the pixelshiftmap and interpolate the distorted image to undistort the EPI image (see figure [1.29\)](#page-28-2).



<span id="page-28-2"></span>Figure 1.29: Result of undistortion by unknown phase encoding direction; we tried +y and -y: +y seems the proper direction.

As a result of the unwarping process, the coregistration between the functional and anatomical data should be easier, because the unwarped functional data should be closer to their true position.

The difference between the distorted and undistorted image can be visually inspected by subtracting the two FMR projects from each other via the "Evaluation" tool (see section [1.15\)](#page-32-0). (Please note that the effect is only visible after detaching the original AMR.)

See also figure **??** for illustrations of different undistortion results due to various settings. Please note that trying different settings is encouraged, because the optimal settings could differ for each dataset.

## **Coregistration ("Initial Alignment") and resampling of pixelshift map**

If the fieldmap has been acquired in the same scanning session, but with a different orientation and/or resolution and/or number of slices, the transformation can be calculated and applied to the pixelshift map, so that values from the proper position in the pixelshift map are used to correct the EPI data.

The procedure is as follows.

At first, the plugin will check whether the images are in the same space by com-paring the direction vectors.<sup>[7](#page-29-0)</sup>

<span id="page-29-0"></span> $7$ Comparison by taking scalar product of coordinate vectors of the fieldmap in one dimension multiplied by the transpose of the coordinate vector of the EPI image in the same dimension, this should be 1 in case the space is similar; this should be done for all three (x, y, z) directions.

## <span id="page-30-0"></span>**1.14.2 Extent of the distortion**

The maximum, minimum and mean pixelshift is always printed to the BrainVoyager Log tab when applying undistortion (see figure [1.30\)](#page-30-1). Besides the difference image, which will provide a visual impression of the pixelshift (see section [1.15\)](#page-32-0), these values will provide an impression of the pixelshift in numbers.



<span id="page-30-1"></span>Figure 1.30: The pixelshift values of a particular dataset from a 3T scanner, printed to the BrainVoyager Log tab

Concerning the extent of the distortion, hence the pixelshift, see the excellent fieldmap-based EPI distortion correction review of Hutton et al (2001, [\[16\]](#page-60-5)) and the elaborate group-based review by Cusack et al (2003, [\[8\]](#page-59-0)). The shift for regions of interest (ROIs) before and after distortion correction has been quantified for a few subjects on volume and surface (see Breman et al, 2010 [\[5\]](#page-59-4)). A figure of this publication has been reproduced below (see figure [1.31\)](#page-30-2). For a quantification of the distortion at 3T for datasets of individuals, please see the poster of [\[5\]](#page-59-4), which can be downloaded from [Breman et al \(2010b\) PDF.](http://support.brainvoyager.com/documents/Company_Contact/PosterHBM2010_BremanEtAl.pdf)

<span id="page-30-2"></span>

Figure 1.31: Figure of shift of ROIs on volume and surface

## <span id="page-31-0"></span>**1.14.3 Background**

The intensity value of each pixel is recalculated by taking into account the distorted grid, using the pixelshift map (see figure [1.32\)](#page-31-1).



<span id="page-31-1"></span>Figure 1.32: The intensity value of each pixel is recalculated by taking into account the distorted grid, using the pixelshift map

## <span id="page-32-0"></span>**1.15 Evaluation utility (create difference image)**

With the "Evaluate" function, the distorted and undistorted EPI images can be compared (it is actually possible to compare any two FMR projects with the same number of voxels) by creating a difference image.

## <span id="page-32-1"></span>**1.15.1 Usage**

Load the distorted file by clicking the "Get distorted EPI image" button. Then, load the undistorted/unwarped file via the "Get undistorted EPI image" button. The two images will be opened in the BrainVoyager QX window by the plugin. To create a difference image, click the "Create difference image" button (see figure [1.33\)](#page-32-2). This makes it possible to select the source image and the image that should be subtracted (the first image does not necesserily need to be the distorted one and the second the undistorted; in fact, if the distorted is subtracted from the undistorted, the white pixels tell which voxels are 'new'). The difference image will also become visible in the BrainVoyager QX window (see figure [1.34\)](#page-33-1).



<span id="page-32-2"></span>Figure 1.33: Evaluation tab of anatabacus dialog

The image will be saved in the directory of the subtracted image. Please note that the effect of the undistortion is only visible after detaching the original AMR.

### **Other usage**

Remark: with the "Evaluate" function, it is possible to subtract any FMR datasets from each other, not necessarily distortion correction-related datasets. However, please note that the dimensions of the two datasets (number of rows, number of columns, number of slices and number of volumes) should be the same.

An example of other use is the subtraction of two phase images which represent the first and second echo. This creates a differential phase image containing the "phase evolution" between the two echos, which can be used to calculate a map of the static magnetic field  $B_0$ . In this case, when subtracting phase images, they both should be in the same units, for example radians (between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$ ).



<span id="page-33-1"></span>Figure 1.34: Difference image (large) and distorted and undistorted FMR images

## <span id="page-33-0"></span>**1.15.2 Evaluation via coregistration with anatomy**

A simple way to check what the effect of the distortion correction is, and to evaluate whether is was performed in the proper direction (+y or -y), is to compare the initial alignment between functional and anatomical data before and after distortion correction.

To perform this, open the untransformed anatomical image that was acquired during the same experiment. Then, via the Coregistration tab of the 3D Volume Tools, select the distorted functional image (\*.fmr) and click the "Run IA" button (IA: initial alignment). Then, open another copy of the untransformed anatomical image and run initial alignment with the undistorted functional image. The undistorted functional image should fit better on the anatomical image (see figures [1.35](#page-34-0) and [1.36\)](#page-34-1).



<span id="page-34-0"></span>Figure 1.35: Visualisation of effects of the distortion correction at position 1 (inferior cross position). *Top figure:* Coregistration (initial alignment) with distorted EPI *Bottom figure:* Coregistration (initial alignment) with corrected EPI; anteriorly the brain has expanded due to positive pixelshifts, while in the middle compression is visible due to negative pixelshift.



<span id="page-34-1"></span>Figure 1.36: Visualisation of effects of the distortion correction at position 2 (more superior cross position) *Top figure:* Coregistration (initial alignment) with distorted EPI. *Bottom figure:* Coregistration (initial alignment) with corrected EPI. The functional image fits now better on the corpus callosum; anteriorly, the functional image is stretched.

## <span id="page-35-0"></span>**1.16 Conversion utilities**

The conversion utilities can be found on anatabacus' conversion tab (see figure [1.37\)](#page-35-1).



<span id="page-35-1"></span>Figure 1.37: anatabacus' conversion tab

## <span id="page-36-0"></span>**1.16.1 Conversions: to SI units**

#### **Convert time**

It is possible to convert to seconds (figure [1.38\)](#page-36-1) from the quantities mentioned in table [1.16.1.](#page-36-2)



<span id="page-36-1"></span>Figure 1.38: Convert to SI unit in time

quantity to	seconds
minutes (min)	$\times 60$
milliseconds (msec)	$\times 10^{-3}$
microseconds $(\mu s)$	$\times 10^{-6}$
nanoseconds (ns)	$\times 10^{-9}$

<span id="page-36-2"></span>Table 1.2: Conversion of time to SI unit 'seconds'

## **Convert position**

Convert distances listed in table [1.16.1](#page-37-0) via the position utility (figure [1.39\)](#page-37-1).



Figure 1.39: Convert to SI unit in position

<span id="page-37-1"></span><span id="page-37-0"></span>

quantity to	meters
millimeters	$\times 10^{-3}$
centimeters	/100

Table 1.3: Conversion of position to SI unit 'meter'

## **Convert frequency**

For conversion of frequencies to SI unit 'hertz', there is a conversion option as well (figure [1.40\)](#page-38-0). The possiblities are listed in table [1.16.1.](#page-38-1)





<span id="page-38-0"></span>

quantity to	hertz
kilohertz (KHz)	$\times$ 10 <sup>3</sup>
megahertz (MHz)	$\times 10^6$
gigahertz (GHz)	$\times 10^{9}$

<span id="page-38-1"></span>Table 1.4: Conversion of frequency to SI unit 'hertz'

## <span id="page-39-0"></span>**1.16.2 Conversions: between Cartesian and polar coordinates**

Complex numbers, like the signal acquired from the scanner (magnitude and phase images), can be expressed in real and imaginary coordinates or magnitude and phase. To convert between the two representations, the utility depicted in [1.41](#page-39-1) can be used.



<span id="page-39-1"></span>Figure 1.41: Convert between Cartesian and polar coordinates

#### **Cartesian coordinates (real and imaginary) to polar**

To convert from Cartesian coordinates  $z = x + iy$  to the polar form  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , fill the 'real' and 'imaginary' text fields and click the button with the arrow to the right ' $\rightarrow$ ': see figure [1.42.](#page-39-2) Magnitude r is calculated via  $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ . Phase  $\theta$  is calculated using the arctangent:  $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\frac{y}{x})$ .<sup>[8](#page-39-3)</sup>



<span id="page-39-2"></span>Figure 1.42: Convert Cartesian to polar coordinates

<span id="page-39-3"></span><sup>8</sup>Magnitude and phase are sometimes also called *modulus* and *argument*.

#### <span id="page-40-2"></span>**Convert rectangular/cartesian to polar files**

Sometimes real and imaginary fieldmaps are available rather than phase and magnitude fieldmaps (see figure [1.43\)](#page-40-0).



<span id="page-40-0"></span>Figure 1.43: Real (left) and imaginary (right) fieldmap slices

For these cases, the "Convert rectangular to polar" option can be applied (see figure [1.44\)](#page-40-1). This will then convert the real and imaginary data to magnitude and phase images. This is required for the distortion correction procedure.

*Note October 2011: Until further notice, it is recommended to do the conversion outside BrainVoyager since the negative values in the real and imaginary data will be truncated to zero when creating an FMR project, which will provide incomplete phase data which cannot be unwrapped properly.*



<span id="page-40-1"></span>Figure 1.44: Convert Cartesian to polar coordinates

## **Polar coordinates (magnitude and phase) to Cartesian (real and imaginary)**

To convert from polar coordinates  $z = re^{i\theta}$  to Cartesian form  $z = x + iy$ , fill the 'magnitude' and 'phase' text fields and click the button with the arrow to the left '←': see figure [1.45.](#page-41-0) Conversion is computed using Euler's formula  $re^{i\theta}$  =  $r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)$  [\[29,](#page-61-4) Ch.3]. Note that the phase should be entered in radians. For conversion from degrees to radians, the conversion utility for degrees to radians as described in section [1.16.3](#page-42-1) can be applied.



<span id="page-41-0"></span>Figure 1.45: Convert Cartesian to polar coordinates

## <span id="page-42-0"></span>**1.16.3 Conversions: other calculations**

#### <span id="page-42-1"></span>**Degrees to radians**

**Description:** Convert an angle in degrees (0-360) to an angle in radians (0-2 $\pi$ ).

**Input:** degrees

**Output:** radians





#### **Radians to degrees**

**Description:** Convert an angle in radians  $(0-2\pi)$  to an angle in degrees  $(0-360)$ .

**Input:** radians

**Output:** degrees



Figure 1.47: Convert radians to degrees

### **Radians to hertz**

**Description:** This is a function to convert angular frequency  $\omega$  (in radians per second) to frequency f in hertz (in cycles per second) according to  $\omega = 2\pi f$  and  $d\omega = 2\pi d f$  (see Bernstein et al; [\[4,](#page-59-3) p.9]).

**Input:** radians

**Output:** hertz



<span id="page-43-1"></span>Figure 1.48: Convert radians to hertz

#### **Parts-per-million to hertz**

**Description:** This is a function to convert static magnetic field inhomogeneity in parts-per-million (ppm) to hertz (Hz), when using fieldmaps created in other software packages, for example FUGUE in FSL. This assumes a resonance frequency of 42,576,000 Hz (for water protons  $\pm H$ ).

**Input 1:** parts per million (ppm)

**Input 2:** static magnetic field strength  $B_0$  in Tesla (T)

**Output:** static magnetic field deviation  $\Delta B_0$  in hertz (Hz)

The conversion is ppm times (resonance frequency times $B_0$ ) =  $\Delta B_0$  in hertz (Hz).



Figure 1.49: Convert parts-per-million to hertz

#### <span id="page-43-0"></span>**Echo spacing to phase encoding bandwidth**

- **Description:** One of the required acquisition parameters is 'bandwidth in phase encoding direction  $(Hz/Px)'$ . The current function can be used to compute this value.
- **Input 1:** echospacing in milliseconds, for example 0.53

**Input 2:** number of phase encoding lines (rows in image), for example 64

- **Input 3:** SENSE/GRAPPA acceleration factor; default: 1
- **Output:** bandwidth in phase encoding direction (Hz)



Figure 1.50: Convert echo spacing to phase encoding bandwidth

### **Field inhomogeneity to pixel shift**

**Description:** This is a function to compute the pixel shift from the static magnetic field  $B_0$  deviation in Hz, like in the distortion correction procedure. Basis is the *Fourier shift theorem*, which says that a shift or offset of the coordinate in one domain results in a multiplication of the signal by a linear phase ramp in the other domain, and vice versa $^9$  $^9$ .[\[4,](#page-59-3) p.10]

**Input 1:** bandwidth in phase encoding direction, for example 28 (Hz)

**Input 2:**  $B_0$  field inhomogeneity, for example 110 (Hz)

### **Output:** pixel shift



Figure 1.51: Convert field inhomogeneity to pixel shift

<span id="page-44-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Fourier shift theorem:  $FT[g(x+a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)e^{-2\pi i k(x+a)}dx = G(k)e^{-2\pi i k(a)}$ 

## <span id="page-45-0"></span>**1.16.4 Background**

There are two systems used in engineering/physics, to denote quantities. The first system is the CKS system (Centimeters-Kilos-Seconds).The second system is the Système International (SI).

If units are not specified, people will assume that the units are SI units; this means that if there is a measure of time, one will assume that this temporal quantity is in seconds (and not in milliseconds, microseconds or minutes).

For units directly relevant to MRI, see table [1.16.4](#page-45-1) (from [\[4,](#page-59-3) p.958]).



<span id="page-45-1"></span>Table 1.5: Spin physical properties

Relevant units according to Systeme International (SI) [\[21\]](#page-60-6): `

Name Unit			Symbol Description
	Length meter $(m)$		
Time	seconds (s)	$\phi$	
	Angle radian (rad) $\alpha$ , $\beta$		

Table 1.6: Ground SI-units

Quantity	Symbol	Unit
Angular frequency	$\omega$	rad/s
Phase/difference		rad
Frequency	f,v	$Hz (= s^{-1})$
Wavelength	λ	m
Period	т	S
Rotational frequency	n	$s^{-1}$
Radius	R,r	m
Time	t	s
Time constant		S

Table 1.7: Derived SI-units

# <span id="page-46-0"></span>**Appendix A**

# **Acquisition of B0 field maps**

## <span id="page-46-1"></span>**A.1 Introduction**

EPI images are reconstructed from magnitude data. A phase field map is a difference between two images of phase data or *differential phase image*. These phase images can be obtained using a spin-echo (SE) sequence or a gradient-echo (GRE) sequence. For the phase images to be useful, they are first processed to a differential phase image which indicates how fast the phase on each point in space changes. This information about phase changes at each point is then used to compute the displacement of each pixel in the EPI image.

## <span id="page-46-2"></span>**A.2 Phase map types**

### <span id="page-46-3"></span>**A.2.1 Spin-echo (SE) phase maps**

In case of a spin-echo (SE) sequence, the difference is obtained by the timing of the 180 degrees refocusing pulse, which is for the first image TE/2 and for the second imageTE/2 +  $\tau$ . The time difference between these refocusing pulses is called asymtime in FSL (see figure [D.1\)](#page-58-0). Spin-echo phase maps are much less distorted than gradient-echo phase maps.

## <span id="page-46-4"></span>**A.2.2 Gradient-echo (GRE) phase maps**

The phase difference in gradient-echo (GRE) phase maps is obtained by acquiring images at two different echo times. Gradient-echo images are more distorted than spin-echo images because of the reduced signal to noise due to voxel dephasing. Siemens has a double-echo spoiled gradient echo sequence, which is called FLASH (gre\_field\_mapping on the console) which produces a differential phase image (see section [A.3.4\)](#page-48-0). Typical echo times in this sequence are 5.11 and 7.57 ms for a 3T scanner and 5.00 and 6.02 ms for a 7T scanner. The second echo time is calculated with respect to the first (see the information in figure **??**), and is made as short as possible to reduce wraps in the phase image. This time (here for 3T: 2.46 ms) between the two images is called *(phase) evolution time* and notated as  $\delta_{TE}$ .

## <span id="page-47-0"></span>**A.3 Acquisition of phase field maps**

## <span id="page-47-1"></span>**A.3.1 Acquisition on Bruker scanners**

On Bruker, one can use the GEFI-TOMO protocol to acquire a pair of gradient echo acquisitions (see Cusack et al [\[8\]](#page-59-0)).

## <span id="page-47-2"></span>**A.3.2 Acquisition on Philips scanners**

On Philips scanners, there seems to be a checkbox labeled "B0" with each scan protocol, which should then provide a map of the static magnetic field  $B_0$ . Also, Philips has a spoiled gradient echo sequence under the name CE-FFE-T1 or T1- FFE [\[4,](#page-59-3) p.584]. It is not known whether a double echo can be applied so that a differential phase image can be constructed (any information welcome).

## <span id="page-47-3"></span>**A.3.3 Acquisition on General Electric (GE) scanners**

GE has a spoiled gradient echo sequence under the name SPGR [\[4,](#page-59-3) p.584]. It is possible to acquire real and imaginary data as fieldmaps. There are also phase and magnitude data, but the phase data might be smoothed, which is not easy to unwrap. Therefore, the real and imaginary data are recommended. For conversion from real and imaginary files to magnitude and phase, see the "Conversion" tab of anatabacus described in section [1.16.2.](#page-40-2)

## <span id="page-48-0"></span>**A.3.4 Acquisition on Siemens Allegra 3T scanner**

The acquisition of a gradient-echo field map on the Siemens Allegra is easy to do and takes only about 1 minute. The product is a set of slices that look like the EPI data (magnitude), and a set of slices which consists of a differential phase map. The procedure is the following:

1. Search the gre\_field\_mapping sequence from the Siemens sequences list (see figure [A.1\)](#page-48-1).



<span id="page-48-1"></span>Figure A.1: Adding the Siemens field mapping sequence to the job list: 1."Exams", select "SiemensSequences". 2. In "DefaultProtocols", select "gre field mapping". 3. Move the protocol to the joblist

- 2. Copy the gre\_field\_mapping sequence to the job list (or first to your own user folder); place before the EPI sequence.
- 3. Copy the positioning information from the EPI sequence to the gre\_field\_mapping sequence. Select the gre\_field\_mapping in the job list and press the "Open" button. Right-click on your EPI data in the job list, and select "Copy measurement parameters". Do the same for the shimming parameters, by copying the "Adjust Volume" parameters.
- 4. Make sure that the "Reconstruction" parameter on the "Contrast"  $\rightarrow$  "Dynamic" tab is set to "Magnitude/Phase" (see figure [A.2\)](#page-49-0)
- 5. Run sequences in the job list
- 6. Export the protocols to PDF because the echo spacing or dwell time is mentioned on the EPI protocol, (see figure [1.19\)](#page-20-0)

The result is two sets of slices, that can be imported as FMR projects in Brain-Voyager (see figure [1.14\)](#page-14-1).



<span id="page-49-0"></span>Figure A.2: On the Contrast tab, set the Reconstruction to Magnitude/Phase

## <span id="page-50-0"></span>**A.4 Manually creating a differential phase map from two phase images with different echo times**

In case one does not have a standard sequence for acquiring fieldmaps, it should be possible to compute a differential phase map from two similar complex gradientecho images with different echo times via

$$
\Delta \phi = \arctan\left(\frac{Im(Z_1 Z_2*)}{Re(Z_1 Z_2*)}\right) = ATAN2[Im(Z_1 Z_2*) , Re(Z_1 Z_2*)]
$$
(A.1)

where  $Z_1$  is a complex value in phase image 1 and  $Z_2*$  the complex conjugate of a value in phase image 2 (see Bernstein et al [\[4,](#page-59-3) par. 13.5.1]).

If the images are in real and imaginary representation ('rectangular' or 'Cartesian'), we can subtract them using subtraction rules for compilex numbers:  $z_1$  −  $z_2 = (x_1 - x_2) + i(y_1 - y_2)$ , where  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$  and  $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$  ( $z_1$  would be a real and imaginary image at echo time point 2 and  $z_2$  a real and imaginary image at echo time point 1). If the images are in magnitude and phase representation ('polar'), they need to be converted back to rectangular representation, then the subtraction can be performed, after which the images can be converted to polar form again.

Spin-echo differential phase maps are even superior; in that case two phase images should be acquired with refocusing pulses at different times (see figure [D.1\)](#page-58-0).

# <span id="page-51-0"></span>**Appendix B**

# **Summary of coordinate systems in MRI**

Because several file formats are used in the fieldmap-based distortion correction part of the anatabacus plugin, referring to BrainVoyager, NIfTI and DICOM coordinate systems, these coordinate systems have been depicted in figure [B.1.](#page-51-1)



ORIENTATION IN DIVERSE COORDINATE SPACES W.R.T. REFERENCE VOLUME

<span id="page-51-1"></span>Figure B.1: Coordinate systems in magnetic resonance imaging

## <span id="page-52-0"></span>**B.1 Coordinate systems in Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

- 1. BrainVoyager internal coordinates. Origin at voxel (0, 0, 0).  $X_{BV}$ : anterior  $\rightarrow$  posterior  $Y_{BV}$ : superior  $\rightarrow$  inferior  $Z_{BV}$ : right  $\rightarrow$  left
- 2. BrainVoyager system coordinates. Origin, directions/values are defined the same as the internal coordinate system but axes names follow Talairach standard:  $X_{SYS}$ : right  $\rightarrow$  left  $Y_{SYS}$ : anterior  $\rightarrow$  posterior
- 3. Talairach coordinates. Axes names like in system coordinates but opposite directions, origin in AC (128,128,128), values defined according to 8 landmarks (AC, PC, LP, RP, SP, IP, AP, PP).  $X_{TAL}:$  left  $\rightarrow$  right  $Y_{TAL}$ : posterior  $\rightarrow$  anterior  $Z_{TAL}$ : superior  $\rightarrow$  left
- 4. OpenGL coordinates. Like internal (but also shown as BrainVoyager system coordinates to the user, except small axes cross in left lower corner of OpenGL (surface) window.
- 5. NIfTI 1.1 coordinates
	- x: left  $\rightarrow$  right y: posterior  $\rightarrow$  anterior  $z:$  inferior  $\rightarrow$  superior

 $Z_{SYS}$ : superior  $\rightarrow$  left

6. DICOM coordinates

x: right  $\rightarrow$  left y: anterior  $\rightarrow$  posterior  $z:$  inferior  $\rightarrow$  superior

See also the document about spatial transformation conventions by Jenkinson [\[19\]](#page-60-7).

# <span id="page-53-0"></span>**Appendix C**

# **Preventive measures**

## <span id="page-53-1"></span>**C.1 Reducing susceptibility artifacts**

Some measures to reduce susceptibility artifacts are described in the literature. Possible measures are for example a slice tilt, shorter TE, head tilt, passive shimming, dynamic shimming and other k-space trajectories (e.g. spiral) (the last two options require sequence modification).

### <span id="page-53-2"></span>**C.1.1 Global measures**

#### **Reducing echo time (TE)**

As a global measure, reducing echo time (TE) is an approved method. The echo time (TE) should be reduced as far as possible, but not lower than 25 ms for a 3 Tesla scanner. For effects of TE, please see Gorno-Tempini et al (2002)[\[14\]](#page-60-8), Weiskopf et al (2006)[\[34\]](#page-61-1), Stoecker et al (2006)[\[31\]](#page-61-5) and Hutton et al (2001)[\[18\]](#page-60-9).

#### **Slice tilt**

To reduce signal dropout, see the table for optimal slice positioning in figure [C.1a](#page-54-0) from Weiskopf et al (2006; [\[34\]](#page-61-1)) and illustration for slice positioning in figure [C.1b](#page-54-0). Concerning the direction of the slice tilts, Weiskopf et al state [\[34\]](#page-61-1):

Thirdly, slice tilts were limited to less than 45 degrees, because special care must be taken with large slice tilts, in particular positive ones (positive values denote tilts of the anterior edge of the slice towards the feet measured from the axial plane). In this case the slice may intersect with the oral cavity and nose, causing wrap over, chemical shift artifacts (from unsuppressed fatty tissue signals) and larger Nyquist ghost. Therefore, we would recommend using negative slice tilts if possible.

The tilt angle is with respect to the scanner axis. Weiskopf (personal communication) mentioned that

The same slice tilt should be applied irrespective of the head tilt, since 1) the method has been validated using this particular procedure and 2) in our systems (Allegra, Sonata) the intersubject variance in head tilts was relatively small. However, please note that in-between scanners it can be significant due to different coil geometries/scanner couch etc.

Optimal EPI parameters for regions of interest at 3 T

Region of interest	РP $[mT/m*ms]$	Tilt [deg]	PE	Optimal mean % BS	Standard mean % BS
mOFC+rACC	$-1.4$	-45	neg.	99.2	83.5
Inferior temporal lobes	$-0.4$	$+30$	neg.	78.1	75.1
Temporal poles	$+0.6$	$+30$	neg.	106.0	89.5
Amygdala	$+0.6$	$-45$	pos.	120.9	107.3
Hippocampus+ Parahippocampus	$+0.6$	$-45$	pos.	121.9	109.7

PP=z-shimming prepulse gradient moment; PE=phase-encoding polarity; BS=BOLD sensitivity; mOFC+rACC=medial orbitofrontal cortex and rostral-ventral anterior cingulate cortex with  $z<0$  mm (MNI).



<span id="page-54-0"></span>Figure C.1: (a) Table from Weiskopf et al [\[34\]](#page-61-1): "For the positive PE polarity, negative slice tilts were optimal in the temporal pole and posterior OFC, and positive slice tilts in the anterior OFC and inferior temporal gyri. In most brain regions, the sign of the optimal slice tilt reversed together with the PE polarity." (b) Illustration for the table by Valérie Goffaux.

#### **Head tilt**

Alternatively, tilting the head is also possible. Heberlein and Hu ([\[15\]](#page-60-10)) found that a head tilt improves the shim. Barker et al ([\[2\]](#page-59-5)) also suggests that the head tilt with respect to the direction of the  $B_0$  magnetic field influences the field inhomogeneity. Heberlein and Hu state about the direction of the head tilt:

In the figure a tilt angle of zero degrees means that the AC-PC plane is directly perpendicular to the main magnetic field.

Dreher et al [\[11\]](#page-59-6) have applied Heberlein and Hu's suggestion:

In addition, we tilted the head of the subject with a 30 angle relative to the AC–PC line because this simple head positioning procedure improves the shim in this area (Heberlein and Hu, [1](#page-55-1)991).<sup>1</sup>

#### **Use different k-space trajectory**

Also, some researchers use different trajectories (in particular, spiral sequences) to reduce susceptibility artifacts [\[12,](#page-59-7) [22,](#page-60-11) [32\]](#page-61-2) (the usual scanning trajectory is "cartesian", which means scanning lines in k-space from right to left and vice versa). These sequences have to be developed by MR physicists however, or be acquired from MRI scanner manufacturers.

## <span id="page-55-0"></span>**C.1.2 Region-specific measures**

For scanning the temporal lobe or the orbitofrontal cortex, parameters can be adapted to increase the BOLD sensitivity (BS) up to 20% (Weiskopf).

#### **Frontal lobe**

To scan the medial frontal lobe, Ojemann et al [\[26\]](#page-60-12) suggest to use sagittal or coronal orientation with smaller TE. See also Österbauer et al (2006)[\[25\]](#page-60-13).

#### **Temporal lobe**

See Devlin et al (2000)[\[10\]](#page-59-8). See also Bellgowan et al (2006)[\[3\]](#page-59-9).

#### **Amygdala**

For scanning the amygdala, Merboldt et al (2001)[\[23\]](#page-60-14) observe less distortion when using coronal slice orientation. This is confirmed by Chen et al [\[7\]](#page-59-10), who state that an optimal selection for the slice orientation is an oblique pseudo-coronal plane

<span id="page-55-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Signal dropout in the orbitofrontal cortex due to susceptibility artifact was reduced by using a local high-order z-shimming performed in the axial direction with an oval-shape region that included the orbitofrontal cortex and the basal ganglia. In addition, we tilted the head of the subject with a 30 degrees angle relative to the AC–PC line because this simple head positioning procedure improves the shim in this area (Heberlein and Hu, 1991). Indeed, a region of high field distortion is located above the nasal cavity and a region of low field is located behind the nasal cavity. Since these field effects are dependent on the direction of the main magnetic field ?relative to the head, it is possible to direct the distortions away from the inferior frontal lobe by using this simple positioningmethod for acquiring para-axial slices traversing the anterior commisure and the posterior commisure (Heberlein and Hu, 1991). The head position was obtained by slightly increasing the padding on the base of the neck and reducing padding for the back of the head using an air pressure-inflatable pillow. The angle was obtained using a protractor measuring the angle between the vertical and the AC–PC line and was then checked with the sagittal localizer.

with its frequency-encoding direction parallel to the field gradient measured from each subject. See also Stöcker et al [\[31\]](#page-61-5).

### **Shimming**

Passive shimming, which also reduces artifacts, is performed by placing diamagnetic objects in subjects' air cavities like the mouth. Wilson et al (2002) use pyrolytic graphite [\[35\]](#page-61-6). See also [\[25\]](#page-60-13). For an evaluation of passive shimming, see Cusack et al (2005)[\[9\]](#page-59-11).

Most other shimming measures (e.g. z-shimming) require sequence modification.

# <span id="page-57-0"></span>**Appendix D**

# **Nomenclature**

## <span id="page-57-1"></span>**D.1 Nomenclature**

Please find below a glossary that might be useful when using the fieldmap correction tools.

- **EPI-based fieldmap:** measurement of the phase with EPI-sequence (results in distorted phase image)
- **fieldmap (FPM):** calculated map of field inhomogeneity from the phase maps
- **Hertz (Hz):** number of cycles per second (see chapter 12 of [\[27\]](#page-60-4) on the Fast Fourier Transform)

**receiver bandwidth (BW):** 1/δt

- **bandwidth (Hz)** : Read-out bandwidth ( $BW_{RO}$ ) is usually much higher than the effective bandwidth along the phase-encoded direction  $(BW_{PE})$ . For example, for a 0.5 ms echo spacing, the effective phase-encoding bandwidth is  $\pm 1/(2 \times 0.5$  ms =  $\pm 1$  kHz, whereas the readout bandwidth is typically  $\pm 100$  kHz or more [\[4,](#page-59-3) p.376].
- **dwell time:** The time between two successive samples (x-axis of k-space); deter-mines highest frequency sampled accurately [\[28\]](#page-60-15). Dwell time  $= 1/b$ andwidth. The resulting sampling time per complex point  $\Delta t$  (also known as the dwell time) is the inverse of the full readout bandwidth [\[4,](#page-59-3) p.367].
- **echo spacing** The time between centers of two successive k-space lines.
- **asymtime:** time difference of second pulse of symmetric and asymmetric spinecho (SE), see figure [D.1.](#page-58-0)
- **dwell-to-asymtime-ratio:** dwelltime/asymtime, (usually between 0.2 and 0.3)(FSL).
- **Jacobian modulation:** correction of intensity for expansion or compression of pixel (SPM).
- **polarity of phase encode blips or PE direction:** phase encoding (PE) direction, usually the y-direction (x will be read-out (RO)) from posterior to anterior ( $P \rightarrow$ A). If the polarity is the reverse  $(A \rightarrow P)$ , the polarity is negative.
- **magnitude image:** this is the amplitude (complex modulus) of the signal (FSL: 'Abs' instead of 'Magn' (SPM)).



<span id="page-58-0"></span>Figure D.1: asymtime: time difference of second pulse of symmetric and asymmetric spin-echo

- **phase image:** phase part of complex signal, reflecting rotation angle; the other part is the magnitude. This is the polar representation of the real and imaginary values of a complex image.
- **total EPI readout time:** number of echoes \* echo spacing (SPM)
- **warped:** geometrically distorted image
- **wrapped:** concerns the imaginary part of complex data, representing rotation angles (phase). When there are phase jumps, i.e. when the phase is not gradually increasing but discontinuous, and when the phase is varying between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$  it is called *wrapped*. *Unwrapping* involves removing the phase jumps and adding multiples of  $\pi$ , so that the phases are not overlapping (multi-valued) but unique (single-valued).

# **Bibliography**

- <span id="page-59-2"></span>[1] J.L.R. Andersson, S. Skare, and J. Ashburner. How to correct susceptibility distortions in spin-echo echo-planar images: application to diffusion tensor imaging. *NeuroImage*, 20:870–888, 2003.
- <span id="page-59-5"></span>[2] P.B. Barker, K. Szopinski, and A. Horska. Metabolic heterogeneity at the level of the anterior and posterior commissures. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 43:348–354, 2000.
- <span id="page-59-9"></span>[3] P.S.F. Bellgowan, P.A. Bandettini, P. van Gelderen, A. Martin, and J. Bodurka. Improved bold detection in the medial temporal region using parallel imaging and voxel volume reduction. *NeuroImage*, 29:1244–1251, 2006.
- <span id="page-59-3"></span>[4] M.A. Bernstein, K.F. King, and X.J. Zhou. *Handbook of MRI Pulse Sequences*. Academic Press, 2004.
- <span id="page-59-4"></span>[5] H. Breman, S. Frost, S. Okamoto-Barth, J. Peters, J. Zimmermann, C. Hutton, J. Ashburner, N. Weiskopf, and R. Goebel. Quantifying the effect of the susceptibility artifact on volume and surface. In *Presented at the OHBM meeting in Barcelona, Spain.*, 2010.
- <span id="page-59-1"></span>[6] H. Breman, J. Peters, J. Ashburner, N. Weiskopf, and R. Goebel. Fast fieldmapbased epi distortion correction with anatabacus: a plugin for brainvoyager. *NeuroImage*, 47(Supplement 1):S81, July 2009.
- <span id="page-59-10"></span>[7] N.K. Chen, C.C. Dickey, S.S. Yoo, C.R.G. Guttmann, and L.P. Panych. Selection of voxel size and slice orientation for fmri in the presence of susceptibility field gradients: application to imaging of the amygdala. *NeuroImage*, 19:817– 825, 2003.
- <span id="page-59-0"></span>[8] R. Cusack, M. Brett, and K. Osswald. An evaluation of the use of magnetic field maps to undistort echo-planar images. *NeuroImage*, 18(1):127–142, 2003.
- <span id="page-59-11"></span>[9] R. Cusack, B. Russell, S.M.L. Cox, C. De Panfilis, C. Schwarzbauer, and R. Ansorge. An evaluation of the use of passive shimming to improve frontal sensitivity in fmri. *NeuroImage*, 24:82–91, 2005.
- <span id="page-59-8"></span>[10] J.T. Devlin, R.P. Russell, M.H. Davis, C.J. Price, J. Wilson, H.E. Moss, P.M. Matthews, and L.K. Tyler. Susceptibility-induced loss of signal: Comparing pet and fmri on a semantic task. *NeuroImage*, 11:589–600, 2000.
- <span id="page-59-6"></span>[11] J.C. Dreher, P. Kohn, and K.F. Berman. Neural coding of distinct statistical properties of reward information in humans. *Cerebral Cortex*, 16:561–573, 2006.
- <span id="page-59-7"></span>[12] G.H. Glover and C.S. Law. Spiral-in/out bold fmri for increased snr and reduced susceptibility artifacts. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 46:515–522, 2001.
- <span id="page-60-0"></span>[13] R. Goebel, F. Esposito, and E. Formisano. Analysis of fiac data with brainvoyager qx: From single-subject to cortically aligned group glm analysis and self-organizing group ica. *Human Brain Mapping*, 27(5):392–401, 2006.
- <span id="page-60-8"></span>[14] M.L. Gorno-Tempini, C. Hutton, O. Josephs, R. Deichmann, C. Price, and R. Turner Turner. Echo time dependence of bold contrast and susceptibility artifacts. *NeuroImage*, 15, 2002.
- <span id="page-60-10"></span>[15] K.A. Heberlein and X. Hu. Improved shim by changing head position. In *Proceedings of the 9th Annual Meetings ISMRM, Glasgow UK*, pages 1157–1157, 2001.
- <span id="page-60-5"></span>[16] C. Hutton, A. Bork, O. Josephs, R. Deichmann, J. Ashburner, and R. Turner. Image distortion correction in fmri: A quantitative evaluation. *NeuroImage*, 16(1):217–240, 2001.
- <span id="page-60-2"></span>[17] C. Hutton, R. Deichmann, R. Turner, and J.L.R. Andersson. Combined correction for geometric distortion and its interaction with head motion in fmri. In *Proceedings of ISMRM 12, Kyoto, Japan*, 2004.
- <span id="page-60-9"></span>[18] C. Hutton, M.L. Gorno-Tempini, O. Josephs, R. Deichmann, C. Price, and R. Turner. Echo time dependence of bold contrast and susceptibility artifacts. *NeuroImage*, 13(6):S19–S19, 2001.
- <span id="page-60-7"></span>[19] M. Jenkinson. Coordinate transformation conventions for spatial registration. Origin and date of document unknown.
- <span id="page-60-1"></span>[20] P. Jezzard and B.S. Balaban. Correction for geometric distortion in echo planar images from b0 field variations. *MRM*, 34(1):65–73, 1995.
- <span id="page-60-6"></span>[21] P.H.H. Leijendeckers, J.B. Fortuin, F. van Herwijnen, and G.A. Schwippert, editors. *Poly-Technisch Zakboek*. Doetinchem: Reed Business Information bv, 50e druk edition, 2003.
- <span id="page-60-11"></span>[22] T.Q. Li, A. Takahashi, Y. Wang, V. Mathews, and G.H. Glover. Dual-echo spiral in/in acquisition method for reducing magnetic susceptibility artifacts in blood-oxygen-level-dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 55:325–334, 2006.
- <span id="page-60-14"></span>[23] K.D. Merboldt, P. Fransson, H. Bruhn, and J. Frahm. Functional mri of the human amygdala? *NeuroImage*, 14:253–257, 2001.
- <span id="page-60-3"></span>[24] B. Nijdam and H. van Buuren. *Statistiek voor de sociale wetenschappen*, volume Deel I en II. Alphen aan den Rijn/Zaventem: Samsom Bedrijfsinformatie, 1994.
- <span id="page-60-13"></span>[25] R.A. Oesterbauer, J.L. Wilson, G.A. Calvert, and P. Jezzard. Physical and physiological consequences of passive intra-oral shimming. *NeuroImage*, 29:245– 253, 2006.
- <span id="page-60-12"></span>[26] W.G. Ojemann, E. Akbudak, A.Z. Snijder, R.C. McKinstry, M.E. Raichle, and T.E. Conturo. Anatomic localization and quantitative analysis of gradient refocused echo-planar fmri susceptibility artifacts. *NeuroImage*, 6:156–167, 1997.
- <span id="page-60-4"></span>[27] W.A. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vettering, and B.P. Flannery. *Numerical Recipes in C; The Art of Scientific Computing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- <span id="page-60-15"></span>[28] S.S. Rajan. *MRI; a conceptual overview*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- <span id="page-61-4"></span>[29] K.F. Riley, M.P. Hobson, and S.J. Bence. *Mathematical Methods for Physics and Engineering*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- <span id="page-61-3"></span>[30] S.W. Smith. *The Scientist and Engineer's Guide to Digital Signal Processing*. California Technical Publishing, 1997. See http://www.dspguide.com/.
- <span id="page-61-5"></span>[31] T. Stoecker, T. Kellermann, F. Schneider, U. Habel, K. Amunts, P. Pieperhoff, K. Zilles, and N.J. Shah. Dependence of amygdala activation on echo time: Results from olfactory fmri experiments. *NeuroImage*, 30:151–159, 2006.
- <span id="page-61-2"></span>[32] B.P. Sutton, D.C. Noll, and J.A. Fessler. Dynamic field map estimation using a spiral-in/spiral-out acquisition. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 51(6):1194– 1204, 2004.
- <span id="page-61-0"></span>[33] P. Thevenaz, T. Blu, and M. Unser. Interpolation revisited. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 19(7):739–758, 2000.
- <span id="page-61-1"></span>[34] N. Weiskopf, C. Hutton, O. Josephs, and R. Deichmann. Optimal epi parameters for reduction of susceptibility-induced bold sensitivity losses: A wholebrain analysis at 3 t and 1.5 t. *NeuroImage*, 33(2):493–504, 2006.
- <span id="page-61-6"></span>[35] J.L. Wilson, M. Jenkinson, and P. Jezzard. Optimization of static field homogeneity in human brain using diamagnetic passive shims. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 48:906–914, 2002.